



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/534,814	03/22/2000	Duane Charles Gates	2328-023 RI	9066
7:	590 02/28/2003			
Allan M. Lowe Lowe Hauptman Gopstein Gilman & Berner, LLP 1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310			EXAMINER	
			PASCHALL, MARK H	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/534,814	GATES, DUANE CHARLES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark H Paschall	3742			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •	VIO OET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	CO EDOM			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-58</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗀						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 🖰	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	****				
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
— .	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
_	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachment	i(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal,	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) \$B 96			
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 15			

PTO/SB/96 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S.Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<u>s</u> 1	TATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 3.73(b)
Applicant/Patent Owner:	
	Filed/Issue Date:
Entitled:	
	, a,
(Name of Assignee)	(Type of Assignee, e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.)
states that it is:	·
1. the assignee of the entire right,	, title, and interest; or
2. an assignee of less than the er The extent (by, percentage) of	ntire right, title and interest. its ownership interest is%
in the patent application/patent identif	fied above by virtue of either:
	or(s) of the patent application/patent identified above. The assignment ates Patent and Trademark Office at Reel, Frame, or for ed.
OR	
B. [] A chain of title from the inventor assignee as shown below:	or(s), of the patent application/patent identified above, to the current
1. From:	To:
	rded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office at ame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
	To:
The document was record Reel, Fra	ded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office at me, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
3. From:	To:
	ded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office at me, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
[] Additional documents in t	the chain of title are listed on a supplemental sheet.
[NOTE: A separate copy (i.e., the	ocuments in the chain of title are attached. original assignment document or a true copy of the original document) Division in accordance with 37 CFR Part 3, if the assignment is to be PTO. See MPEP 302.08]
The undersigned (whose title is supplie	ed below) is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.
Date	Typed or printed name
	Signature
	Title

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Art Unit: 3742

Reissue Applications

Claims 39-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought. The added material which is not supported by the prior patent is as follows:

In claim 39 the new matter is listed in lines 7-13 and comprises describing the coil as having interior, intermediate and peripheral portions and also describing different magnetic fluxes for these different coil portions. Note that the original disclosure and claims are silent as to any discussion of magnetic flux. These same limitations are likewise found in claim 45 on lines 10-16, and in claim 51 on lines 10-17, claim 54 on lines 8-15. In claim s 40, 46-50 new matter is disclosed as the new limitations setting forth that the interior coil comprises plural radially and circumferentially extending turns, with the intermediate portion not including a complete turn. Note that the original disclosure is drawn to a coil having a first and a second segment in series, not the three segments now claimed. In claims 51 and 56 the new matter listed respectively in lines 15-16 and lines 14-15 comprises the term, "the lead having at least a portion that is straight

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Art Unit: 3742

make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 39-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. New matter is set forth in the above claims. The new matter comprises inclusion of a coil comprised of three segments, an interior portion, an intermediate portion and a peripheral portion. The original specification described only a coil having two segments in series. The new claims also set forth language setting forth variation of ;the magnetic flux relative to the coil segments. However, the original disclosure makes no mention of the term magnetic flux, but mentions only variation of the coil current to effect a plasma having uniform plasma characteristics.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3742

4. Claims 39-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hama et al '159. Note that Hama et al '159 teaches more current flow the peripheral portion of the coil than to the center portion of the coil. It is obvious that this effect results in a more intense magnetic flux at the peripheral portion of the plasma chamber, as claimed.

5. Applicant is required to submit a 37 CFR 3.73(b) statement. This statement replaces the title report in applications filed on or after September 24, 1992. Since this application was filed on March 22,2000, it must comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 3.73 (b). Applicant is required to complete and submit the enclosed form for this statement.

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Applicants arguments

Concerning the rejection and objection re new matter both the rejection and objection stands. Applicant advances thatin the parent that the present reissue was based on was used by another Examiner as a reference to anticipate claims in another application. What another Examiner did in another office action does not influence the present new matter issue. As stated in the instant office action the new matter pointed out is not present in the parent of the present reissue. Applicant is once again requested to point out word and line in the parent just where the basis for the new matter exists, in the forthcoming response. Applicant is also requested to point out in the specification, where the basis for the new matter limitations set foth in new claims 57 and 58 lies. Applicant argues that these limitations and their effects are inherent limitations. However these limitations are not considered inherent. Applicants specification merely mentions that the coil configurations lead to more spacial uniformity in the etching. No mention whatsoever is made of variation of the electric fields in the etching process. Applicant has failed to show where the basis for these limitations lies in the original specification. The coil turns variation could be used to vary the magnetic field, and vary the magnetic field. The latter variation is a function of the coil orientation. Hama et al teach variation of the electric field. For these reasons, Applicants claim

Application/Control Number: 09534814

Art Unit: 3742

that these limitations are inherent are not persuasive. It should be noted that the electromagnetic

Page 6

fields generated could be varied by the distance of the individual coil segments relative to the

chamber bottom and relative to each other.

As per Applicants remarks, the rejection of the claims as being broadened via reissue has been

removed, and the claims are rejected under the recapture doctrine as set forth above. Note that

the recapture doctrine is not bound by the 2 year filing rule. Also the rejection based on recapture

has been dropped in view of Applicant's response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. H. Paschall whose telephone number is (703) 308-1642.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. H. Paschall whose telephone number is (703) 308-1642.

Application/Control Number: 09534814

Art Unit: 3742

/17 H/ mp

February 24, 2003

Page 7